IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. 07-CV-10712-RGS
LYDIA CAPITAL, LLC, GLENN MANTERFIELD, and EVAN ANDERSEN, Defendants.))))

RECEIVER'S MOTION AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT FOR AN ORDER
(A) AUTHORIZING THE RECEIVER TO SETTLE CLAIM PERTAINING
TO A CERTAIN LIFE INSURANCE POLICY, (B) APPROVING SETTLEMENT
AGREEMENT, (C) AUTHORIZING THE RECEIVER TO TAKE THE
NECESSARY ACTIONS TO EFFECT THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT
AND (D) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF

COMES NOW, H. Thomas Moran, II, Court-Appointed Receiver for Defendant Lydia Capital, LLC ("Receiver"), in the above-entitled cause, and respectfully submits this Motion and Brief in Support (the "Settlement Motion") seeking an Order (A) Authorizing the Receiver to Settle Claim Pertaining to a Certain Life Insurance Policy, (B) Approving Settlement Agreement, (C) Authorizing the Receiver to Take the Necessary Actions to Effect the Proposed Settlement, and (D) Granting Related Relief (the "Settlement Order"). A proposed Settlement Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 2. In support of this Settlement Motion, the Receiver respectfully represents to the Court as follows:

I. BACKGROUND.

A. LYDIA CAPITAL, LLC'S BUSINESS

Lydia Capital, LLC ("Lydia") was a Delaware limited liability company established in February 2006 with an office in Boston, Massachusetts. Lydia was registered as an investment

advisor with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Lydia acted as an investment advisor to, and general partner of, Lydia Capital Alternative Investment Fund LP (the "Fund"). The Fund, a Delaware limited partnership, was a pooled investment vehicle formed to purchase and sell all types of securities, but it focused on investing in life insurance policies. The Fund used money from investors to purchase policies (the "Policies") and to pay premiums on the Policies. In this way, the sellers of the Policies received money in advance of their deaths while investors expected to receive a higher percentage rate of return than with traditional investment vehicles when the Policies matured.

B. THE APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER FOR LYDIA

On April 12, 2007, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("S.E.C.") filed this action against Defendants Lydia, Evan Andersen ("Andersen") and Glenn Manterfield ("Manterfield"). [Doc. No. 1.] On the same date, the S.E.C. sought and, on April 13, 2007, secured a temporary restraining order freezing certain of the Defendants' assets. [Electronic Order, dated April 13, 2007.] The temporary restraining order was modified on April 17, 2007. [Electronic Order, dated April 17, 2007.] On May 3, 2007, the Court entered two unopposed preliminary injunction orders freezing certain assets of Defendants Lydia, Manterfield and Andersen. [Doc. Nos. 20, 21 and 22.]

On May 23, 2007, the S.E.C. filed a motion seeking the appointment of a receiver for Lydia as well as a brief in support of the motion. [Doc. Nos. 25 and 26.] The Defendants did not oppose the S.E.C.'s motion. [Doc. No. 25, p. 2.] On June 1, 2007, the Court granted the

S.E.C.'s request and appointed Mr. Moran as Receiver for Lydia.¹ [Doc. No. 28.] In the June 1, 2007 Order for Appointment, the Court directed the Receiver to:

- A. take and retain immediate possession, custody and control of the funds, assets, monies, securities, contracts, notes, bank accounts, safe deposit boxes, negotiable and non-negotiable instruments or documents of title, choses in action and properties, real, if any, and personal, tangible and intangible, of whatever kind and description, wherever situated, of Lydia, and of all other entities which Lydia either owned, controlled or benefited from (including, but not limited to, Lydia Capital Alternative Investment Fund LP) including without limitation, the accounts established by Lydia on behalf its investors (the "Lydia Client Accounts"), as well as all property of whatsoever nature, whether real or personal, tangible or intangible, which has been acquired with or through funds or proceeds of Lydia (hereinafter "Receivership Assets");
- B. take all steps the Receiver deems necessary to conduct an inventory of the assets and liabilities of Lydia and Lydia Capital Alternative Investment Fund LP:
- C. take all steps the Receiver deems necessary to reconstruct the histories of the Lydia Client Accounts to determine whether and how client funds have been dissipated;
- D. take all steps the Receiver deems necessary to secure and protect the Receivership Assets, including all assets and property of Lydia and Lydia Capital Alternative Investment Fund LP;
- E. promptly provide written notice of this Order to all current and former clients of Lydia ("Lydia Clients"). Service of a copy of this Order shall be deemed sufficient notice;
- F. have access to and take control of all books, records, papers and other documents of Lydia and Lydia Capital Alternative Investment Fund LP, including all computers, computer files, on-site and off-site backup files, backup disks, other electronic storage material and websites;
- G. have control of, and be added as an authorized signatory for, all accounts of Lydia and Lydia Capital Alternative Investment Fund LP at any bank, brokerage firm, insurance company or financial institution having possession, custody or control of any assets, accounts or funds of Lydia and Lydia Capital Alternative Investment Fund LP, wherever situated;

* * * *

3

¹ An Electronic Order was entered on May 23, 2007, granting the S.E.C.'s motion to appoint a receiver. [Electronic Order dated May 23, 2007.] However, it was an additional ten days (June 1, 2007) before an order was docketed which set forth the name of the Receiver and delineated the scope of the Receiver's duties. [Doc. No. 28.]

- J. receive and collect any and all sums of money due and/or owing to Lydia or Lydia Capital Alternative Investment Fund LP and make or authorize such payments and disbursements from the funds and assets taken into control or thereafter received by the Receiver, engage in or authorize such transactions, incur or authorize the incurrence of such expenses, and make or authorize the making of such agreements, as the Receiver deems necessary and appropriate to carry out the Receiver's mandate pursuant to this Order;
- K. In connection with the exercise of these powers, liquidation of any, or all, of the assets of Lydia or the Lydia Capital Investment Fund LP shall be undertaken, if at all, with due regard for the best long-term interests of investors in the Fund:
- L. engage and employ persons in his discretion and in consultation with the Boston Regional Office of the Commission to assist him in carrying out his duties and responsibilities hereunder, including, but not limited to, attorneys, accountants and appraisers;
- M. have access to, including rights to receive, open and review all mail of Lydia and Lydia Capital Alternative Investment Fund LP; and
- N. file on a timely basis all relevant federal, state, and local tax returns and take any and all other steps required by such taxing authorities.

[Doc. No. 28, pp. 1-4, ¶¶ II.A-G and II.J-N.]

The Receivership Assets include the portfolio of Policies that Lydia had purchased in the life insurance after-market and all potential causes of action related to the acquisition of these policies (the "Lydia Portfolio"). At the time of the Receiver's appointment, the Lydia Portfolio consisted of 37 life insurance policies, on the lives of 28 individuals, which ranged in face value from \$1,000,000.00 to \$10,000,000.00 (the "Portfolio").

C. RELEVANT PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On June 24, 2008, the Court granted Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company ("Hartford") leave to file a declaratory judgment action with respect to a Policy issued by Hartford insuring the life of R. Williamson (the "Williamson Policy").² [Electronic Order, dated June 24, 2008].

² In order to protect the privacy of the insureds, who are not parties to this litigation, the Receiver will use the initial for the insured's first name, rather than the insured's full name.

D. DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION

Hartford filed a declaratory judgment action regarding the Williamson Policy in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. CV-09-0391, Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company v. H. Thomas Moran, II, Court-Appointed Receiver of Lydia Capital, LLC, et al. (the "Litigation").

Hartford alleged that R. Williamson and the original beneficiaries under the Williamson Policy made intentional misrepresentations in the application for life insurance, including fraudulent misrepresentations concerning their alleged involvement in discussions to sell the Williamson Policy to a life settlement company after it was issued. Additionally, Hartford alleged that the Williamson Policy was issued to, at the behest of, and/or under the direction of, a party possessing no insurable interest in connection with the Williamson Policy. Hartford alleges that the purported fraud and/or lack of insurable interest, if proven, render the Williamson Policy void *ab initio* and/or subject to rescission. In addition to rescission, Hartford sought to retain the premiums paid in connection with the Williamson Policy. Alternatively, Hartford sought to deduct, from premiums it is required to return in order to obtain rescission, its alleged costs, including commissions paid by it to its agents in connection with the sale of the Williamson Policy. Hartford also sought to recover its attorney's fees and costs associated with prosecuting the Litigation.

The Receiver has been named as a Defendant in the Litigation solely due to his status as the assignee and owner of the Williamson Policy. If a court should declare the Williamson Policy void and/or subject to rescission, any such ruling would necessarily be predicated on the actions of the insured and others involved in the procurement and subsequent sale of the Williamson Policy. Accordingly, the Receiver has obtained leave to file, and has filed, Third-

Party Complaints in the Litigation, naming the insureds and others involved in the sale of the Williamson Policy as Third-Party Defendants and asserting claims for indemnity, breach of contract, fraud, unjust enrichment and rescission.

II. THE RECEIVER SEEKS APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.

A. INTRODUCTION

The Receiver's authority to act on behalf of the Receivership is expressly provided for in the Order for Appointment of Receiver [Doc. No. 28]. The Receiver is authorized to "institute, prosecute, defend, compromise, adjust, intervene in, or become party to such legal or equitable actions, claims or proceedings or anticipated legal or equitable actions, claims or proceedings on behalf of Lydia and Lydia Capital Alternative Investment Fund LP, as the Receiver deems necessary and appropriate to carry out the Receiver's mandate " *Id.* Acting pursuant to this authority, the Receiver has performed his duties and responsibilities consistent with the scope of the Order for Appointment, and successfully preserved the Portfolio. In further performance of his duties and responsibilities, the Receiver and his counsel have devoted, and continue to devote, significant time and attention to the Litigation. The Receiver has also pursued settlement of the Litigation to preserve the Receivership Assets, eliminate further litigation costs and avoid the uncertainty of litigation.

B. PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

If approved by the Court, Hartford will pay to the Receivership a total of \$231,000.00. A full copy of the settlement agreement and release is included as Exhibit 1, which has been filed under seal pursuant to the Court's Electronic Order dated May 5, 2010.

C. PROPOSED NOTICE AND BAR OF CLAIMS

By separate motion, the Receiver intends to request that the Court set a time period allowing for interested parties to file written objections to the Settlement Motion. A copy of this Settlement Motion is being provided to: (1) the SEC; (2) Defendant Evan Anderson; (3) Defendant Glenn Manterfield; (4) the investors in Lydia and/or the Fund; (5) those creditors of Lydia and/or the Fund the Receiver is aware of; and (6) those parties who received payments from Lydia and/or the Fund in connection with the Williamson Policy of which the Receiver is aware. The Receiver requests in the proposed Settlement Order that the Court find this Notice to be sufficient. Following the expiration of the time period for filing written objections, the Receiver requests that the proposed Settlement Order be entered.

III. THE COURT'S JURISDICTION AND THE RECEIVER'S AUTHORITY.

A Court's authority to impose and administer a receivership is derived from its inherent powers as a court of equity. SEC v. Forex Asset Mgmt., L.L.C., 242 F.3d 325, 331 (5th Cir. 2001). A federal court exercises "broad powers and wide discretion" in crafting relief in an equitable receivership proceeding. SEC v. Basic Energy & Affiliated Res., Inc., 273 F.3d 657, 668 (6th Cir. 2001). This Court's jurisdiction over the Receiver and the Receiver's authority to act on behalf of the Receivership are set forth in the Order for Appointment. [Doc. No. 28]. Pursuant to the Order for Appointment, the Receiver was directed to take complete control, possession, and custody of all Receivership Assets. Acting pursuant to this authority, the Receiver took possession and control of the Williamson Policy. The Order of Appointment further directed the Receiver to "defend [or] compromise . . . such legal or equitable actions, claims or proceedings or anticipated legal or equitable actions, claims or proceedings on behalf of Lydia and Lydia Capital Alternative Investment Fund LP, as the Receiver deems necessary

and appropriate to carry out the Receiver's mandate pursuant to this Order." [Doc. 28, ¶ II.H]. Now, the Receiver requests Court approval to compromise the Litigation regarding the validity of the Williamson Policy.

The Receiver believes it is in the best interest of the Receivership Estate, and the Lydia Investors, to settle the Litigation on the terms outlined in Exhibit 1. Specifically, the Receiver believes the total settlement proceeds, combined with the elimination of further litigation uncertainty and costs, and elimination of the cost of paying premiums on the Williamson Policy, is reasonable under the circumstances. Accordingly, the Receiver respectfully requests the Court authorize him to enter into the proposed settlement on the terms reflected in Exhibit 1.

Although not contemplated, circumstances may require, prior to closing of the settlement but after Court approval, the modification, amendment or supplementation of terms of settlement agreement. The Receiver therefore requests that the Court allow him to consent to modifications, amendments or supplementations that have no material adverse effect on the Receivership Estate without the need for further approval from the Court.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, the Receiver respectfully requests the Court approve the settlement agreement reflected in Exhibit 1.

Dated: June 7, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Melvin R. McVay, Jr.

Melvin R. McVay, Jr. (admitted *pro hac vice*) Shannon K. Emmons (admitted *pro hac vice*) Phillips Murrah P.C. Corporate Tower, Thirteenth Floor 101 North Robinson Avenue Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102 Telephone: (405) 235-4100

Facsimile: (405) 235-4100

Facsimile: (405) 235-4133

mrmcvay@phillipsmurrah.com

skemmons@phillipsmurrah.com

Attorneys for H. Thomas Moran, II, Court-Appointed Receiver for Lydia Capital, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 7th day of June, 2010, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk of Court using the ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

Martin F Healey healeym@sec.gov

Deena Bernstein BernsteinD@sec.gov

Silvestre A. Fontes fontess@sec.gov

Attorneys for Securities and Exchange Commission

Mauro M. Wolfe wolfem@dicksteinshapiro.com

Charles L. Bateman batemanc@dicksteinshapiro.com

Attorneys Evan Anderson

Glenn Manterfield gmanterfield@hotmail.co.uk

Pro Se

Christopher Michael Condon cmc@hanify.com

Douglas Marc Marrano dmarrano@donovanhatem.com

Exhibit 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSI	ON,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. 07-cv-10712-RGS
LYDIA CAPITAL, LLC; GLENN MANTERFIELD, and EVAN ANDERSEN,) Filed Under Seal) Leave to file granted on) May 5, 2010
Defendants) Judge Richard G. Stearns

EXHIBIT 1 TO

RECEIVER'S MOTION AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT FOR AN ORDER
(A) AUTHORIZING THE RECEIVER TO SETTLE CLAIM PERTAINING
TO A CERTAIN LIFE INSURANCE POLICY, (B) APPROVING SETTLEMENT
AGREEMENT, (C) AUTHORIZING THE RECEIVER TO TAKE THE
NECESSARY ACTIONS TO EFFECT THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT
AND (D) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF

FILED UNDER SEAL

Exhibit 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	ON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Case No. 07-cv-10712-RGS
LYDIA CAPITAL, LLC;)	
GLENN MANTERFIELD, and EVAN ANDERSEN,)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER (A) AUTHORIZING THE RECEIVER TO SETTLE CLAIM
PERTAINING TO A CERTAIN LIFE INSURANCE POLICY,
(B) APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, (C) AUTHORIZING
THE RECEIVER TO TAKE THE NECESSARY ACTIONS TO EFFECT THE
PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AND (D) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF

Upon the Motion [Doc No. ___] (the "Settlement Motion") by H. Thomas Moran, II, Court Appointed Receiver ("Receiver") for Defendant Lydia Capital, LLC ("Lydia"), by his counsel, Phillips Murrah P.C. for, *inter alia*, entry of an Order (A) Authorizing the Receiver to Settle Claim Pertaining to a Certain Life Insurance Policy, (B) Approving Settlement Agreement, (C) Authorizing the Receiver to Take the Necessary Actions to Effect the Proposed Settlement and (D) Granting Related Relief, after notice to all interested parties; and an opportunity to file written objections to the Settlement Motion; and the Court having reviewed and considered the Settlement Motion and exhibits thereto; and it appearing that the relief requested in the Settlement Motion is in the best interests of the Receivership estate; and good cause appearing therefore.

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS AND DETERMINES THAT:

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Settlement Motion.

- 2. Pursuant to the Court's Order of June 1, 2007, H. Thomas Moran, II was appointed the Receiver for the assets of Lydia (the "Order of Appointment"). [Doc. No. 28]. Pursuant to the Order of Appointment, Mr. Moran, as Receiver for Lydia, was charged with the duty to "institute, prosecute, defend, compromise, adjust, intervene in, or become party to such legal or equitable actions, claims or proceedings or anticipated legal or equitable actions, claims or proceedings on behalf of Lydia and Lydia Capital Alternative Investment Fund LP (the 'Fund'), as the Receiver deems necessary and appropriate to carry out the Receiver's mandate pursuant to this Order." [Id.]
- 3. On June 24, 2008, the Court granted Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company ("Hartford") leave to file a declaratory judgment action with respect to a Policy issued by Hartford insuring the life of R. Williamson (the "Williamson Policy"). [Electronic Order, dated June 24, 2008]. Hartford filed a declaratory judgment action regarding the Williamson Policy in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. CV-09-0391, Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company v. H. Thomas Moran, II, Court-Appointed Receiver of Lydia Capital, LLC, et al. (the "Litigation").
- 4. The proposed settlements will pay to the Receivership a total of \$231,000.00, as more particularly detailed in Exhibit 1 to the Settlement Motion, filed under seal pursuant to Order of the Court. [Electronic Order, dated May 5, 2010].
- 5. Notice of Hearing on the Settlement Motion was provided to: (1) the SEC; (2) Defendant Evan Anderson; (3) Defendant Glenn Manterfield; (4) the investors in Lydia and/or the Fund; (5) those creditors of Lydia and/or the Fund the Receiver is aware of; and (6) those parties who received any payment from or through the Receiver, Lydia and/or the Fund

¹ In order to protect the privacy of the insureds, who are not parties to this litigation, the Receiver will use the initial for the insured's first name, rather than the insured's full name.

in connection with the sale of the Williamson Policy in the life insurance secondary market and the Receiver is aware of. This Notice, as carried out by the receiver, is sufficient and reasonable in light of the circumstances and the nature of the relief requested in the Settlement Motion.

- 6. The Court finds that the settlement agreement and release described and attached as Exhibit 1 to the Settlement Motion is reasonable with respect to the claim made on the Williamson Policy by Hartford. Further, the Court finds that the settlement agreement and release described and attached as Exhibit 1 to the Settlement Motion is in the best interests of the Receivership estate and the investors in Lydia and/or the Fund.
- 7. All interested parties were provided an opportunity to file written objections to the Settlement Motion prior to the entry of this Settlement Order.

The Court finds that good cause exists for granting the Receiver's Settlement Motion, and accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

- (a) The settlement agreement and release in Exhibit 1 to the Settlement Motion is hereby approved as reasonable and in the best interests of the Receivership estate and the investors in Lydia and/or the Fund.
- (b) The Receiver is authorized to enter into the settlement agreement and release in Exhibit 1 to the Settlement Motion.
- (c) The Receiver is authorized to take such steps as may be reasonably necessary to effectuate the settlement agreement and release attached as Exhibit 1 to the Settlement Motion. Further, the Receiver is authorized to consent to modifications, amendments or supplementations that do not have a material adverse effect on the Receivership estate, without the need for further approval from the Court.

Case 1:07-cv-10712-RGS Document 294-2 Filed 06/07/10 Page 5 of 5

(d) The terms and conditions of this Order shall be immediately effective and

enforceable upon its entry.

(e) The requirement that any motion or other request for relief be accompanied by a

memorandum of law is hereby deemed satisfied by the contents of the Settlement Motion or

otherwise waived.

(f) To the extent this Order is inconsistent with any prior order or pleading with

respect to the Settlement Motion in this case, the terms of this Order shall govern. In the event

that this Order is inconsistent with the settlement agreement, however, the settlement agreement

shall govern.

(g) This Court shall retain jurisdiction to resolve any disputes relating to the

interpretation of the terms or conditions of this Order. Further, the Court shall retain jurisdiction

to interpret, construe and enforce the settlement agreement in Exhibit 1 to the Settlement Motion.

WHEREFORE, Receiver's Motion (A) Authorizing the Receiver to Settle Claim

Pertaining to a Certain Life Insurance Policy, (B) Approving Settlement Agreement,

(C) Authorizing the Receiver to Take the Necessary Actions to Effect the Proposed Settlement

and (D) Granting Related Relief is hereby GRANTED.

Dated this ____ day of ______, 2010.

Richard G. Stearns

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

00480346.DOC

4